



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/805,929

03/15/2001

Dong-Youl Lee

P56255

3658

7590
Robert E. Bushnell
Suite 300
1522 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1202

05/22/2007

EXAMINER

DANIEL JR, WILLIE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/22/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/805,929	LEE, DONG-YOUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willie J. Daniel, Jr.	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 30 January 2007. **Claims 16-30** are now pending in the present application and **claims 1-15** are canceled. This office action is made **Final**.
2. The finality of the Office action mailed on 01 May 2007 (Paper No. 07) is withdrawn, which is hereby replaced with this Final Office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on
 - a. 30 January 2007is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

4. The objections applied to the claims are withdrawn, as the proposed claim corrections are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

- a. **Claim 29** recites the limitation "...provided **without** consulting a home location register or a visitor location register..." in line(s)2 of the claim.

Regarding **claim 29**, the claim(s) include(s) a limitation that is not supported by the specification of the instant application as originally filed. The applicant failed to provide support (i.e., page(s), line(s), and drawing(s)) for the newly added claim(s). The applicant is advised to review the subject matter of the specification (see pg. 10, [0024]; pg. 6, [0017]), which clearly states services are provided by the call manager which is controlled by the public MSC in which the MSC is provided information from the HLR/VLR. Furthermore, the call manager includes a location register (see pg. 10-11, [0024]). Consequently, the current claim language is a contradiction of the subject matter described in the specification (also, see cancelled claims 8-10). Applicant is advised to clearly and concisely provide claim language that is consistent and correlates to the specification and mindful not to improperly utilized negative limitations that are clearly not supported (also, see claims 25-27). The

Examiner respectfully requests the applicant to provide page(s), line(s), and figure(s) of the instant application that supports the limitation of the claim(s) and/or any supportive comment(s) to help clarify and resolve this issue(s).

6. This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive. The Examiner respectfully requests the applicant to review all claims and clarify the issues as listed above as well as any other issue(s) that are not listed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Widergen et al. (hereinafter Widergen) (US 5,890,064).

Regarding **claim 19**, Widergen discloses a call originating service method in a public/private common mobile communication system (100), the method comprising:

providing a public land mobile network (PLMN) (102) comprising a base station transceiver subsystem (BTS) (114) adapted to form a public cell area that is interworked with a private mobile communication network (142) comprising a BTS adapted to form a public/private common cell area (142) enabling a subscriber (120) to be provided with both a public mobile communication service and a private mobile communication service using a

Art Unit: 2617

single mobile station (120) in said public/private common cell area (142) (see col. 3, line 61 - col. 4, line 19; col. 4, lines 27-33; Fig. 1);

determining whether a call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message or a private mobile communication service request message upon receiving the call origination message for requesting origination of a call from a mobile station (CMT - 120) in the public/private common cell area through the BTS (126) in the private mobile communication network (142) (see col. 7, lines 4-22,55-67; col. 9, lines 1-67), where calls for communication are routed to a mobile located in the public or private system; and

transparently transmitting the call origination message to the PLMN when the call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message (see col. 7, lines 4-15; col. 9, lines 39-58; col. 12, lines 34-37; col. 13, lines 34-67), where the originating of a call is transparent (see col. 9, lines 42-45,48-50) in which one of ordinary skill would clearly recognized that telecommunication systems are able to communicate and provide interoperability by using common standards, protocols, and signaling, and

providing a corresponding private mobile communication service when the call origination message is a private mobile communication service request message (see col. 7, lines 4-22,55-62).

Regarding **claim 27**, Widergen discloses the method of claim 19, the public/private common cell (142) area providing both public mobile and private mobile services to a MS (120) located within the common cell, both public mobile and private mobile services being available to the MS without requiring the MS to move or roam to a different location (see

Fig. 1), where the mobile terminals with area (142) are able to have public and private communication services.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widergen et al. (hereinafter Widergen) (US 5,890,064) in view of Mauger et al. (hereinafter Mauger) (US 5,537,610).

Regarding **claim 16**, Widergen discloses a call originating service method in a public/private common mobile communication system, the method comprising:

providing the telecommunications network (100) which reads on the claimed “public/private common mobile communication system” comprising a plurality of mobile terminals (116) which reads on the claimed “mobile stations (MSs)”, a mobile switching center (MSC) (112), a plurality of public mobile communication network base station controllers (BSCs) connected to the MSC (112), a plurality of public mobile communication network base station transceiver subsystems (BTSs) (114) connected to each of the plurality of BSCs, each of the plurality of these BTSs adapted to form a corresponding public-only coverage area (140) which reads on the claimed “cell area”, a wireless office gateway (124) which reads on the claimed “public/private communication service unit” connected to one of

the public mobile communication network's BSCs, and a RAN (126 - "includes radio equipment of RAN"; see col. 5, line 40-41) which reads on the claimed "private BTS" connected to the public/private communication service unit (124), the private BTS (126) adapted to form a public/private common cell area, one of said plurality of Mss being within said public/private common cell area (see col. 3, lines 61 - col. 4, line 25; Fig. 1), where the telecommunication network includes public and private coverage areas. The network including BSCs for controlling BS (114) as part of a base station subsystem and a plurality of network components would be obvious (see col. 4, lines 8-10,1-4), where the amount of components for the network can vary depending on factors such as size and scalability. ;

receiving at the public/private communication service unit (124) a call setup messages which reads on the claimed "call origination message" from the MS (122) in the public/private common cell area (142) through the private BTS (126) (see col. 7, lines 4-12,55-62; Fig. 1), where the network applies call setup messages for communicating with the terminals of the network;

determining whether the MS (120) in the public/private common cell area (142) is registered for a private mobile communication service by analyzing the received call origination message (see col. 7, lines 16-22,55-62), where the PN is used for determining if communication is for the corporate terminal (e.g., CMT - 120);

transmitting transparently the call origination message when the MS (11) in the public/private common cell area (142) is not registered for the private mobile communication service (see col. 13, lines 34-57), where calls from public mobile terminals (PMT) within the wireless office system (142) are transmitted to the MSC (112) which indicates the PMT are

guest and not registered for the private cell area. The communication between the WO Gateway (124) and MSC (112) is via a trunk line (C) (see Fig. 1), and

determining whether identification information for the private mobile communication service is included in the call origination message when the MS (120) in the public/private common cell area (142) is registered for the private mobile communication service (see col. 7, lines 4-22; col. 7, line 56 - col. 8, line 6); and

transmitting transparently the call origination message when the identification information (PN) is not included in the call origination message (see col. 7, lines 4-22; col. 13, lines 34-57), where calls for public mobile terminals (PMT) are directed to the MSC (112) which indicates the PMT do not have a PN, and

providing private mobile communication service for the MS (120) in the public/private common cell area when the identification information (PN) is included in the call origination message (see col. 7, lines 4-22), where the calls are directed to corporate terminals (120) according to the PN. Widergen fails to disclose having the feature of transmitting a call origination message to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSC. However, the examiner maintains that the feature of transmitting a call origination message to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSC was well known in the art, as taught by Mauger.

In the same field of endeavor, Mauger discloses the feature of transmitting a call origination message to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSC (63) (see col. 11, line 66 - col. 12, line 2; col. 11, lines 49-53; col. 14, lines 41-48; col. 15,

lines 20-27; Figs. 24, 27), where the calls are transmitted between the PABX (60) to a BSC (63) which is an intelligent BSC with MSC functionality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen and Mauger to have the feature of transmitting a call origination message to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSC, in order to allow a call to be transmitted from PABX to a BSC, as taught by Mauger.

Regarding **claim 17**, Widergen discloses of a public/private common mobile communication system (100) adapted to provide a public/private mobile communication service in association with a public land mobile network (PLMN) (102) (see Fig. 1), the system comprising:

a plurality of mobile stations (MSs) (116), a mobile switching center (MSC) (112), a plurality of public mobile communication network base station controllers (BSCs) connected to the MSC (112), and a plurality of public mobile communication network base station transceiver subsystems (BTSs) (114) connected to the BSC's, each of the plurality of public mobile communication network BTSs being adapted to form corresponding public-only cell areas (140) (see col. 4, lines 4-16), where the network includes a base station (114) in which the BSC would be obvious for controlling the base station. Also, the plurality of components would be obvious according to factors such as size and scalability (see col. 4, lines 8-10);

a private BTS (126) connected to the public/private communication service unit (124), the private BTS (126) adapted to form a public/private common cell area (142), the

public/private communication service unit (124) receives a call origination message from a particular one of the plurality of MSs (120) located in the public/private common cell area (142) through the private BTS (126) (see col. 7, lines 4-22,55-62; Fig. 1),

the public/private communication service unit (124) being configured to transparently transmit the call origination message when the call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message (see col. 10, line 56 - col. 11, line 1; col. 13, lines 34-57), where calls for the public system is routed between the WO Gateway (124) and the MSC (112) via the trunk line,

the public/private communication service unit (124) being configured to provide network access for a corresponding private mobile communication service when the call origination message is a private mobile communication service request message (see col. 5, lines 60-67; col. 7, lines 55-62). Widergen fails to disclose having the features a public/private communication service unit connected to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs; transmitting the call origination message to one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs. However, the examiner maintains that the features a public/private communication service unit connected to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs; transmitting the call origination message to one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs was well known in the art, as taught by Mauger.

Mauger further discloses the feature a PABX (60) which reads on the claimed “public/private communication service unit” connected to one of said plurality of public

Art Unit: 2617

mobile communication network BSCs (63) (see col. 14, lines 42-58; col. 15, lines 20-23; Figs. 24, 26, 27);

transmitting the call origination message to one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs (63) (see col. 11, line 66 - col. 12, line 2; col. 11, lines 49-53; col. 14, lines 41-48; col. 15, lines 20-27; Figs. 24, 27), where the calls are transmitted between the PABX (60) to a BSC (63) which is an intelligent BSC with MSC functionality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen and Mauger to have the features a public/private communication service unit connected to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs; transmitting the call origination message to one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs, in order to allow a call to be transmitted from PABX to a BSC, as taught by Mauger.

Regarding **claim 18**, Widergen discloses a call originating service method in a public/private common mobile communication system (100) (see Fig. 1), the method comprising:

providing the public/private common mobile communication system (100) comprising a plurality of mobile stations (MSs) (116), a mobile switching center (MSC) (112), a plurality of public mobile communication network base station controllers (BSCs) connected to the MSC (112), a plurality of public mobile communication network base station transceiver subsystems (BTSs) (114) connected to each of the BSC's, each of the plurality of public mobile communication network BTSs (114) adapted to form a corresponding public-only cell area (coverage area) (140) (see col. 3, line 61 - col. 4, line 16), where the network includes a

base station (114) in which the BSC would be obvious for controlling the base station. Also, the plurality of components would be obvious according to factors such as size and scalability (see col. 4, lines 8-10),

a private BTS (126) connected to the public/private communication service unit (124), the private BTS (126) adapted to form a public/private common cell area (142) (see Fig. 1);

determining whether a call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message or a private mobile communication service request message upon receiving the call origination message that requests origination of a call from one of said plurality of mobile stations (120) located in said public/private common cell area (142) through the private BTS (126) (see col. 7, lines 4-22,55-67; col. 9, lines 1-67), where calls for communication are routed to a mobile located in the public or private system; and

transmitting transparently the call origination message to a PLMN (102) when the call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message (see col. 7, lines 4-15; col. 9, lines 39-58; col. 12, lines 34-37; col. 13, lines 34-67), and

providing a corresponding private mobile communication service when the call origination message is a private mobile communication service request message (see col. 7, lines 4-22,55-62). Widergen fails to disclose having the feature a public/private communication service unit connected to a particular one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs. However, the examiner maintains that the feature a public/private communication service unit connected to a particular one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs was well known in the art, as taught by Mauger.

Mauger further discloses the feature a public/private communication service unit (60) connected to a particular one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs (63) (see col. 14, lines 42-58; col. 15, lines 20-23; Figs. 24, 26, 27);

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen and Mauger to have the feature a public/private communication service unit connected to a particular one of the plurality of public mobile communication network BSCs, in order to allow a call to be transmitted from PABX to a BSC, as taught by Mauger.

Regarding **claim 25**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Widergen further discloses the method of claim 16, the common cell area (142) being an area that provides both public mobile and private mobile communication services to a MS (120) within the area without requiring the MS to roam (see Fig. 1), where the mobile terminals with area (142) are able to have public and private communication services.

Regarding **claim 26**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Widergen further discloses the method of claim 16, a public/private communication service unit (e.g., 142) and the private BTS (e.g., 126) providing both public and private mobile services simultaneously and without requiring a MS in the common cell area to roam to a new location to receive (see Fig. 1), where the mobile terminals with area (142) are able to have public and private communication services.

Regarding **claim 28**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Widergen further discloses the method of claim 16, public mobile communication service or private mobile communication service is provided based on the call origination message transmitted from the MS (120) (see col. 7, lines 55-60; col. 8, lines 21-28; col. 9, lines 1-8,39-45; col. 10, lines 2-7), where a call is originated in which one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize.

Regarding **claim 29**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Widergen further discloses the method of claim 16, public mobile communication service or private mobile communication service is provided without consulting a home location register or a visitor location register (see col. 3, lines 61-66; col. 7, lines 55-60).

Regarding **claim 30**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Widergen further discloses the method of claim 16, the call origination message being a message according to MS (120) communication signaling (see col. 5, lines 4-41; col. 7, lines 55-60), where a call is originated in which one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize.

Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widergen et al. (hereinafter Widergen) (US 5,890,064) in view of Mauger et al. (hereinafter Mauger) (US 5,537,610) as applied to claims 16 and 17 above, and further in view of Fujii (US 5,818,918).

Regarding **claim 20**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger fails to disclose having the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry. However, the examiner maintains that the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry was well known in the art, as taught by Fujii.

In the same field of endeavor, Fujii discloses the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area (18) to the public PHS network (11) which reads on the claimed “public mobile communication network” are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network (11) without having to go through additional circuitry (see col. 2, lines 25-45; Figs. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen, Mauger, and Fujii to have the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry, in order to provide a personal

handy phone system which enables communication between a private PHS base station and a public PHS terminal, as taught by Fujii (see col. 1, lines 37-39).

Regarding **claim 21**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger fails to disclose having the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry. However, the examiner maintains that the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry was well known in the art, as taught by Fujii.

Fujii further discloses the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area (18) to the public PHS network (11) which reads on the claimed “public mobile communication network” are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network (11) without having to go through additional circuitry (see col. 2, lines 25-45; Figs. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen, Mauger, and Fujii to have the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the public mobile communication network are directly connected and interworked with the public mobile communication network without having to go through additional circuitry, in order to provide a personal handy phone system which enables communication between a private PHS base station and a public PHS terminal, as taught by Fujii (see col. 1, lines 37-39).

Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widergen et al. (hereinafter Widergen) (US 5,890,064) in view of Mauger et al. (hereinafter Mauger) (US 5,537,610) as applied to claims 16 and 17 above, and further in view of Lu et al. (hereinafter Lu) (US 5,537,610).

Regarding **claim 22**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger fails to disclose having the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network. However, the examiner maintains that the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network was well known in the art, as taught by Lu.

In the same field of endeavor, Lu discloses the feature calls from the MS (458) in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network (see col. 15, lines 41-63; Figs. 6A, 7, 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen, Mauger, and Lu to have the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network, in order to reduce the usage of public network bandwidth with a consequent reduction in the charges, as taught by Lu (see col. 15, lines 60-63; col. 6, lines 64-67).

Regarding **claim 24**, the combination of Widergen and Mauger fails to disclose having the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network. However, the examiner maintains that the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network was well known in the art, as taught by Lu.

Lu further discloses the feature calls from the MS (458) in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network (see col. 15, lines 41-63; Figs. 6A, 7, 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen, Mauger, and Lu to have the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network, in order to reduce the usage of public network bandwidth with a consequent reduction in the charges, as taught by Lu (see col. 15, lines 60-63; col. 6, lines 64-67).

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widergen et al. (hereinafter Widergen) (US 5,890,064) in view of Lu et al. (hereinafter Lu) (US 5,537,610).

Regarding **claim 23**, Widergen discloses fails to disclose having the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network. However, the examiner maintains that the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network was well known in the art, as taught by Lu.

Lu further discloses the feature calls from the MS (458) in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network (see col. 15, lines 41-63; Figs. 6A, 7, 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Widergen and Lu to have the feature calls from the MS in the common cell area to the private mobile communication service are not routed through a public mobile communications network and are not routed through a landline telephone network, in order to reduce the usage of public network bandwidth with a consequent reduction in the charges, as taught by Lu (see col. 15, lines 60-63; col. 6, lines 64-67).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amended language, new limitations, and/or new claims.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully disagrees as the applied reference(s) provide more than adequate support and to further clarify (see the above claims for relevant citations and comments in this section).

10. Regarding applicant's argument of claims 16-19 on pg. 10, section 1a, 1st paragraph, "...transmitting transparently a call origination message to one of **said plurality of public** mobile communication network **BSCs...**", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claims 18-19 do not even include such language. Furthermore, applicant admits on pg. 10, 2nd paragraph, "...*transparency*...is...**well known** in the **art**, and because it is **standardized**..." which is undoubtedly known and applied in the telecommunication industry. For example, when a user (e.g., calling party) originates a call, a system operates accordingly to transfer signaling to set-up the call between the calling party and called party. How does the example above differ from transparency?

11. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Regarding applicant's argument of claims 16-19 on pg. 11, section 1b, 1st paragraph, "...call origination message is transparently transmitted **from** the public/private

communication service unit to the public mobile communication network's **BSC 4-m**...fails to teach this...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claims 18-19 do not even include such language. Apparently, applicant has failed to appreciate the combination of Widergen and Mauger that clearly discloses the claimed features as would be recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. Widergen clearly discloses the feature transparently transmitting the call origination message to the PLMN when the call origination message is a public mobile communication service request message (see col. 7, lines 4-22; col. 9, lines 39-58; col. 12, lines 1-10,34-37; col. 13, lines 34-67), where the originating of a call is transparent (see col. 7, lines 63-65; col. 9, lines 42-45,48-50) in which one of ordinary skill would clearly recognized that telecommunication systems are able to communicate and provide interoperability by using common standards, protocols, and signaling. The call origination message includes a phone number of the called party (see col. 7, lines 4-22; col. 13, lines 34-57), where calls for public mobile terminals (PMT) are directed to the MSC (112). As a note, the MSC has provides the operations and functionality of a BSC in which one of ordinary skill in art would clearly recognize. In addition, Mauger discloses the feature of transmitting a call origination message to one of said plurality of public mobile communication network BSC (63) (see col. 11, line 66 - col. 12, line 2; col.11, lines 49-53; col. 14, lines 41-48; col. 15, lines 20-27; Figs. 24, 27), where the calls are transmitted between the PABX (60) to a BSC (63) which is an intelligent BSC with MSC functionality. Therefore, Widergen as combined with Mauger more than adequately meets the claim limitations.

Furthermore, the applicant on pg. 11, 1st paragraph, states "...discusses in paragraph 0029..." as support for such language. The cited area only recites "...transparently

transmitting the messages for the public mobile network to the public BSC...", which merely describes calls are transmitted to the public BSC. Applicant is requested to be mindful of claim language that is not supported by the specification.

12. Applicant further argues on pg. 12, 1st paragraph, "...submit that **converting** to MSC to MSC signaling means that the call origination message is not transmitted transparently...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has admitted on pg. 10, section 1a, 2nd paragraph, "...information may be **changed** internally within the transmission system..." in which the term **change** is synonymous to the term **convert**. In addition, telecommunication systems utilize hardware and/or software to provide interoperability that allows communication exchange (or relay information) between heterogeneous systems.
13. Regarding claims 20-30, the claims are addressed for the same reasons as set forth above and as applied in each claim rejection.
14. Applicant amended the claim language but failed to provide support (i.e., page(s), line(s), and drawing(s)) for the newly added claim language. The Examiner requests applicant to provide support for the response filed 30 January 2007 and any further amended claim language.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Federal Standard 1037C, "Interoperation", Telecommunications: Glossary of Telecommunication Terms, 07 August 1996, General Services Administration Information Technology Service (also, see www.its.blrdoc.gov/fs-1037).
 - b. Federal Standard 1037C, "Interoperability Standard", Telecommunications: Glossary of Telecommunication Terms, 07 August 1996, General Services Administration Information Technology Service (also, see www.its.blrdoc.gov/fs-1037).
 - c. Federal Standard 1037C, "Interoperability", Telecommunications: Glossary of Telecommunication Terms, 07 August 1996, General Services Administration Information Technology Service (also, see www.its.blrdoc.gov/fs-1037).
 - d. Harry Newton, "Interoperability", Newton's Telecom Dictionary, March 1998, Flatiron Publishing, pg. 379.
16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willie J. Daniel, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-7907. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WJD,JR/

WJD,JR
03 May 2007


CHARLES N. APPIAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER